

On the motion of \_\_\_\_\_, seconded by \_\_\_\_\_, the following Resolution was proposed:

### **RESOLUTION**

**WHEREAS**, Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 77 defines imaginary airspace surfaces surrounding civilian and military airports in the United States. These imaginary surfaces are designed to promote air safety and the efficient use of navigable airspace.

**WHEREAS**, the “Airport Imaginary Airspace Surfaces” map for the NAS JRB New Orleans dated April 21, 2010 depicts the configuration and height of the specific imaginary surfaces surrounding the NAS JBB New Orleans facility.

**WHEREAS**, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) requires that all proponents proposing to erect or alter a structure which penetrates the height of these imaginary surfaces must submit a “FAA Form 7460-1, Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration”.

**WHEREAS**, the FAA conducts a technical analysis of each structure identified on the submitted FAA 7460-1 forms. This analysis establishes the potential impacts which may result to navigable airspace at any surrounding public use and military landing facilities. At the completion of the analysis, the FAA will issue either a “Notice of No Objection” or a “Notice of Presumed Hazard”. The “Notice of No Objection” means that the proposed structure or alteration does not impact the safety of navigable airspace, and the “Notice of Presumed Hazard” indicates that the proposed structure or alteration creates a hazard to navigable airspace, and must be revised or eliminated.

Therefore, the Technical Committee recommends that the building permit process for Plaquemines and Jefferson Parishes be revised to require evidence of “Notice of No Objection” from the FAA related to each structure or alteration which penetrates the “Airport Imaginary Airspace Surfaces” map for NAS JRB, dated April 21, 2010.

If the FAA issues a “Notice of Presumed Hazard” or the building permit applicant cannot generate evidence “Notice of No Objection”, the Parish should not approve the building permit.

The foregoing was submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

Motion carried.

This resolution was declared adopted the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2010.